

## **REMARKS**

The present Amendment is in response to the Examiner's Office Action mailed March 19, 2008. Claims 10, 18-29, 31, and 36-39 are cancelled. Claims 1-9, 11-17, 30, 32-35, and 40-46 are now pending in view of the above amendments.

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the above amendments to the claims and the following remarks. For the Examiner's convenience and reference, Applicant's remarks are presented in the order in which the corresponding issues were raised in the Office Action.

Please note that the following remarks are not intended to be an exhaustive enumeration of the distinctions between any cited references and the claimed invention. Rather, the distinctions identified and discussed below are presented solely by way of example to illustrate some of the differences between the claimed invention and the cited references. In addition, Applicants request that the Examiner carefully review any references discussed below to ensure that Applicants understanding and discussion of the references, if any, is consistent with the Examiner's understanding.

### **I. Allowed Subject Matter**

The Examiner's allowance of claims 1-9, 11-17, 30, 32-35, and 40-46 is appreciated. Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the careful review and allowance of those claims.

The Applicant's submit the following comments concerning the Examiner's statements of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter in the Office Action. Applicant agrees with the Examiner that the claimed invention of claims 1-9, 11-17, 30, 32-35, and 40-46 is patentable over the prior art, but respectfully disagrees with the Examiners statement of reasons for allowance as set forth in Office Action. Applicant submits that it is the claim as a whole, rather than any particular limitation, that makes each of the claims allowable. No single limitation should be construed as the reason for allowance of a claim because it is each of the elements of the claim that makes it allowable. Therefore, Applicant's do not concede that the reasons for allowable subject matter given by the Examiner are the only reasons that make, or would make, the claims allowable and do not make any admission or concession concerning the Examiner's statement in the Office Action.

## **II. PRIOR ART REJECTIONS**

### **A. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

The Examiner rejects claims 36 and 38 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over *Aronson* (WO 02/063800 A1). The Examiner rejects claims 37 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over *Aronson* (WO 02/063800 A1) in view of *Cohen et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,985,645). The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 36-38 as previously discussed. However, claims 36-38 have been canceled. Therefore, the rejections of those claims are moot.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe the claims as amended are in allowable form. In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, or which may be overcome by an Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 30th day of April, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

/David A. Jones/ Reg. 50,004  
DAVID A. JONES  
Registration No. 50,004  
Attorney for Applicant  
Customer No. 022913  
Telephone: (801) 533-9800

C:\NrPortbl\DMS1\JPOGUE\1706121\_1.DOC